

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 15, 2001

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 30, 2001

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 23, 2001

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2001–02 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 1600

**Introduced by Assembly Member Keeley
(Coauthor: Assembly Member Richman)**

February 23, 2001

An act to add Section 1373.22 to the Health and Safety Code, relating to health care service plans.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1600, as amended, Keeley. Health care service plans: provider contracts.

Existing law, the Knox-Keene Health Care Service Plan Act of 1975, provides for the regulation and licensure of health care service plans by the Department of Managed Health Care and makes a willful violation of the act's provisions a crime. The act, among other matters, requires that a plan's contracts with providers be fair, reasonable, and consistent with the act's objectives, which include ensuring that high-quality health care coverage is provided in the most efficient and cost-effective manner possible.

This bill would authorize health care providers on a class basis and health care service plans to negotiate any contract term or condition and upon an impasse, as defined, to submit the dispute to mediation and, if unsuccessful, to refer the matter to arbitration *and would require the filing of the contract, mediation agreement, or arbitration award with*

the department. The bill would require the department *to confirm, modify, or vacate the contract, agreement, or award and would also require it* to adopt regulations prior to July 1, 2002, pertaining to these mediation and arbitration processes.

Because this bill would specify requirements for the mediation and arbitration processes, the violation of which would be punishable as a misdemeanor offense, it would expand the scope of an existing crime, thereby imposing a state-mandated local program.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. (a) The Legislature finds and declares the
- 2 following:
- 3 (1) The principal priorities of the Legislature for health care are
- 4 the following:
- 5 (A) The citizens of this state have access to the highest quality
- 6 health care.
- 7 (B) Patients have the opportunity for continuing access to their
- 8 own health care providers.
- 9 (C) Health care costs be reasonable and affordable.
- 10 (D) Administrative costs in the health care service plan and
- 11 health care provider relationship be as low as possible in order to
- 12 keep health care costs affordable.
- 13 (E) Health care service plans and health care providers remain
- 14 financially solvent in order to provide the highest quality care and
- 15 to retain patients' continuing access to their own health care
- 16 providers.
- 17 (2) The current health care service plan and health care
- 18 provider relationship is not satisfactorily meeting the state's health
- 19 care priorities for the following reasons:
- 20 (A) There is evidence that some health care providers are
- 21 choosing not to practice in California because of this relationship,



1 thereby threatening the quality of, and access to, health care in this
2 state.

3 (B) Some patients have not been able to have continuing access
4 to their own health care providers because health care service plans
5 and health care providers have been unable to reach agreement on
6 contract extensions.

7 (C) Administrative costs in the health care service plan and
8 health care provider relationship are still high, resulting in higher
9 health care costs for both health care service plans and health care
10 providers.

11 (D) A large number of providers have been economically
12 failing, threatening the quality of, and access to, health care in this
13 state and the continuity of care for patients.

14 (E) Too much of a health care provider's time is spent in the
15 administrative aspects of the relationship, determining what care
16 may be provided to patients and settling claims, thereby reducing
17 the amount of time that providers spend with patients, increasing
18 the cost of health care, reducing patient access to health care, and
19 impairing the quality of care available.

20 (F) The negotiating relationship between health care service
21 plans and health care providers is imbalanced.

22 (b) It is the intent of the Legislature to implement a solution to
23 achieve the state's health care priorities, given the unsatisfactory
24 relationship between health care service plans and health care
25 providers. This solution would allow competing health care
26 providers to renegotiate contracts with health care service plans,
27 thereby allowing an improved balance in the contracting
28 relationship that should result in improvements in the state's
29 priorities because of the interests of health care service plans and
30 health care providers to resolve issues that are consistent with the
31 interests of the state. This solution would displace unfair
32 competitive practices and have an actively supervised state
33 program to ensure that health care service plan contracts with
34 health care providers are fair, reasonable, and provide appropriate
35 reimbursement, consistent with the best interests of the patients
36 and this act. The Legislature intends that this solution is consistent
37 with the state action immunity doctrine, which establishes
38 immunity from federal *and state* antitrust laws for conduct taken
39 or supervised by a state.

SEC. 2. Section 1373.22 is added to the Health and Safety Code, to read:

1373.22. (a) Health care providers, on a class basis, and health care service plans may agree to negotiate and mediate any contract term or condition upon renewal of a contract or during the contract term, if there is no provision for renegotiation. *Any contract negotiated pursuant to this section shall be subject to the confirmation process set forth in subdivision (e). In the event a health care service plan declines to participate in those voluntary negotiations, no further action by the class that is reasonably related to the subject of the requested negotiations shall be permitted.*

(b) In the event the parties reach an impasse during the negotiations, the parties, upon mutual agreement, may submit the issues in dispute to mediation. For the purposes of this subdivision, an “impasse” means that the parties to a dispute have reached a point in meeting and negotiating where their differences in position are so substantial or prolonged that future meetings would be futile.

(c) In the event mediation is unsuccessful, the matter ~~shall~~ *may*, upon mutual agreement by the parties, be referred ~~by the parties~~ to arbitration. No arbitration conducted pursuant to this section shall limit the rights and remedies otherwise available to the parties under common or statutory law. In addition, the arbitrator may order a party, the party’s attorney, or both, to pay reasonable expenses, including attorney’s fees, incurred by another party as a result of bad faith actions or tactics that are frivolous or that are solely intended to cause unnecessary delay.

(d) The Department of Managed Health Care shall adopt regulations by July 1, 2002, that ensure that the mediation and arbitration processes described in this section are fair and effective. These regulations shall include a provision requiring that the mediator and arbitrator be neutral and specify factors to be considered by the mediator or arbitrator when resolving the issues that shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

(1) The stipulations of the parties.

(2) The interest and welfare of patients.

(3) The patient’s access to care.

(4) The ability of health care providers to render quality health care services.

1 (5) The cost of providing the services, taking into consideration
2 the increasing age of the population, new pharmaceuticals, the
3 increasing sophistication of medical technology, and the medical
4 demographics of the population of the plan's enrollees.

5 (6) The reasonableness of the reimbursement rates.

6 ~~(e) Upon reaching the decision, the mediator, or if mediation is~~
7 ~~unsuccessful, the arbitrator, shall file a copy of the mediation~~
8 ~~decision or arbitration award, a statement of reasons, and~~
9 ~~submitted evidence with the department for review and to confirm,~~
10 ~~modify, or vacate the decision or award. When considering the~~
11 ~~award, the department shall consider whether it is supported by~~
12 ~~substantial evidence consistent with the factors described in~~
13 ~~subdivision (d).~~

14 *(e) Upon negotiation of a contract, the parties, or upon*
15 *successful mediation, the mediator, or if the parties agrees to*
16 *arbitration, the arbitrator, shall file a copy of the contract,*
17 *mediation agreement, or arbitration award, a statement of*
18 *reasons, and submitted evidence to the department for review. The*
19 *department, after making an independent review of the evidence*
20 *and considering the factors set forth in subdivision (d), shall*
21 *confirm, modify, or vacate the contract, agreement, or award.*

22 SEC. 3. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to
23 Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because
24 the only costs that may be incurred by a local agency or school
25 district will be incurred because this act creates a new crime or
26 infraction, eliminates a crime or infraction, or changes the penalty
27 for a crime or infraction, within the meaning of Section 17556 of
28 the Government Code, or changes the definition of a crime within
29 the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California
30 Constitution.